

STAT

House Sub-Com.under Moham

In 1951 authorized

in

PK 155 - 82<sup>nd</sup> Cong.

Because of last-minute log jam  
we did not get the appropriation

that year but did get the authorization.

"The question may be asked as to  
why we ought to have

in 1951, and in 1955 we are asking for  
more than that. One of the reasons is

that we have a few more people than  
we had then, together with more realistic  
space requirements, and the other fact  
is that building costs have gone up 17  
percent, which accounts for the

1 B 04

difference between what we asked  
for at that time, and what we are  
asking for now.

Mr. Diller: "...That is, we believe it is  
more straightforward and wiser to have  
the building in the law as a C/17  
building. You cannot hide the  
building, there is no use trying to,